UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/583,567	06/19/2006	Jean-Marie Beau	P/3610-67	8886
	7590 07/18/200 FABER GERB & SOF	EXAMINER		
1180 AVENUE	OF THE AMERICAS	GOON, SCARLETT Y		
NEW YORK, NY 100368403			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1623	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/18/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/583,567	BEAU ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		SCARLETT GOON	1623				
The MAILING DATE of Period for Reply	of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence a	ddress			
A SHORTENED STATUTO WHICHEVER IS LONGER, - Extensions of time may be available after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mai - If NO period for reply is specified ab - Failure to reply within the set or exte Any reply received by the Office late earned patent term adjustment. See	FROM THE MAILING D, under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 ing date of this communication. ove, the maximum statutory period vinded period for reply will, by statute r than three months after the mailing	ATE OF THIS COMMU 36(a). In no event, however, may vill apply and will expire SIX (6) No., cause the application to become	NICATION. y a reply be timely filed MONTHS from the mailing date of this a ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) Responsive to comm	unication(s) filed on 19.//	ine 2006					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL .		action is non-final.					
/ <u>—</u>	<i>′</i> —		atters, prosecution as to th	ne merits is			
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	·						
· <u> </u>	ending in the application						
	Claim(s) <u>1-52</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are		wir from consideration.					
6) Claim(s) is/are							
7) Claim(s) is/are							
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-52</u> are sub	-	election requirement					
, ,,	goot to root rotton and, or v	sicotion requirement.					
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is ob	•						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119)						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTC 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent I 3) Information Disclosure Statemer Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper I	w Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application 				

DETAILED ACTION

This application is a National Stage entry of PCT/EP04/14909 filed on 22

December 2004 and claims priority to France foreign application 0315543 filed on 30

December 2003. A certified copy of the foreign priority document in French has been received. No English translation has been received.

Claims 1-52 are pending in the instant application

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

- I. Group I, claim(s) 1-47, drawn to a compound of formula (I) wherein B is an arylene or naphthylene.
- II. Group II, claim(s) 1, 3-5, 7, 9-11, 13, 15-17, 19, 21-22, 24, 26-27, 29, 31-32, 34-37 and 39-46, drawn to a compound of formula (I) wherein B is a heteroarylene or heteronaphthylene comprising one or two hetero atoms chosen from nitrogen, oxygen and sulfur.
- III. Group III, claim(s) 48-52, drawn to a method of using the compound of formula (I).

IV. Group IV, claim(s) 1-47, drawn to compounds of formula (I) that are not encompassed by Groups I-II.

The inventions listed as Groups I-IV do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons.

An international application should relate to only one invention or, if there is more than one invention, the inclusion of those inventions in one international application is permitted if all inventions are so linked as to form a single general inventive concept (PCT Rule 13.1). With respect to a group of inventions claimed in an international application, unity of invention exists only when there is a technical relationship among the claimed inventions involving one or more of the same or corresponding special technical features.

The expression "special technical features" is defined in PCT Rule 13.2 as meaning those technical features that define a contribution which each of the inventions, considered as a whole, makes over the prior art. The determination is made on the contents of the claims as interpreted in light of the description and drawings (if any). Whether or not any particular technical feature makes a "contribution" over the prior art, and therefore constitutes a "special technical feature," should be considered with respect to novelty and inventive step.

The common technical feature in all groups is the base trisaccharide structure of formula (I) wherein n=1. This element cannot be a special technical feature under PCT Rule 13.2 because the element is shown in the prior art.

Art Unit: 1623

In this case, Robina *et al.* (PTO-892, Ref. U) teaches the synthesis and stereochemical properties of fatty acylamino-trisaccharides. The compound synthesized as structure (15) has the structure GlcN-acylβ1-4Glcβ1-4Glc-OMP (p. 10774).

As a result, no special technical features exist among the different groups because the inventions in Groups I-IV fail to make a contribution over the prior art with respect to novelty and inventive step. In conclusion, there is a lack of unity of inventions, and therefore restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single disclosed species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The compound for a single disclosed species must specifically identify a specific chemical moiety for all the components present in the claimed inventions, i.e. a single specific composition disclosing one single compound wherein each variable R, A, B, C, D, E, G and n groups are defined by a single moiety. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims

are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The following claim(s) are generic: 1, 3-5, 7, 9-11, 13, 15-17, 19, 21-22, 24, 26-27, 29, 31-32, 34-37 and 39-46.

Due to the complexity of the above set forth election/restriction requirements, a telephone call was not made to the applicant's agent to request an oral election. See MPEP § 812.01.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 10/583,567

Art Unit: 1623

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder.

All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

Page 6

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Application/Control Number: 10/583,567 Page 7

Art Unit: 1623

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to SCARLETT GOON whose telephone number is 571-270-5241. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Thu 7:00 am - 4 pm and every other Fri 7:00 am - 12 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Shaojia Jiang can be reached on 571-272-0627. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Shaojia Anna Jiang, Ph.D./
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1623

/SCARLETT GOON/ Examiner Art Unit 1623